PEACE OFFICERS' STANDARDS AND TRAINING

PUBLIC MEETING

February 9, 2017

* a.m.

The Commission On Peace Officer Standards and Training ${\hbox{\tt Room 2}}$ ${\hbox{\tt 5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue}}$ ${\hbox{\tt Carson City, Nevada}}$

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Ronald Pierini, Sheriff - Chairman, Douglas County Sheriffs' Office

Michele Freeman, Chief City of LV Department of Public Safety

Kevin McKinney, Undersheriff Elko County Sheriff's Office

James Ketsaa, Chief Clark County School District Police Department

Russell Pedersen, Chief Deputy Washoe County Sheriff's Office

Gary Schofield, Deputy Chief Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department

Troy Tanner, Police Chief Mesquite Police Department

Dan Watts, Sheriff
White Pine County Sheriff's Office

James M. Wright, Director Department of Public Safety

STAFF PRESENT:

Michael Sherlock, Executive Director, Commission on Peace Officers Standards and Training

Michael Jensen, Senior Deputy Attorney General Department of Motor Vehicles and Department of Public Safety

Scott Johnston, Bureau Chief, Commission on Peace Officers Standards and Training

- 1 RONALD PIERINI: All right. We're having
- 2 two things today. We're going to have a workshop,
- 3 and also a regular meeting as, of course, the time
- 4 right now is at 9:30 a.m. And we're here at the
- 5 POST Organization Standards and Training, Classroom
- 6 Number 2 here in Carson City, and what I'd like to
- 7 do if we could right off the bat call for order and
- 8 to roll call, and we'd like to start with you if we
- 9 could.
- 10 TROY TANNER: Troy Tanner, Mesquite.
- 11 JIM KETSAA: Jim Ketsaa, Clark County
- 12 School, please.
- DAN WATTS: Dan Watts, White Pine County.
- RUSS PEDERSEN: Russ Pedersen, Washoe
- 15 County Sheriff's Office.
- 16 KEVIN MCKINNEY: Kevin McKinney, Elko
- 17 County Sheriff's Office.
- JIM WRIGHT: Jim Wright, DPS.
- 19 RON PIERINI: Ron Pierini, Douglas County.
- 20 MICHAEL JENSEN: Mike Jensen, Attorney
- 21 General's Office.
- 22 MICHELE FREEMAN: Michele Freeman, City of
- 23 Las Vegas, DPS.
- 24 RON PIERINI: And Gary, you're there?
- 25 GARY SCHOFIELD: Gary Schofield, Las Vegas

- 1 Metropolitan Police Department.
- 2 RON PIERINI: Thank you, sir.
- 3 MIKE SHERLOCK: Mike Sherlock from POST.
- 4 SCOTT JOHNSTON: Scott Johnston from POST.
- 5 RON PIERINI: Okay. Good. Thank you. We
- 6 just want to do a couple of things if we could to
- 7 remind the public to sign over here the roster
- 8 location. So if you're going to come up and give a
- 9 talk, we'd like to have that if you would and what
- 10 agency you're from. And also we want to make sure
- 11 that when you're up there you say what agency you do
- 12 represent.
- Want to make sure that the cell phones are
- 14 turned down or off. We'd like that, no
- 15 interruptions if we could. And reminding the
- 16 commissioners that when you're ready to make a
- 17 question or not, whatever it might be, make sure you
- 18 way what your name is and what agency you're --
- 19 All right. We also want to make sure that
- 20 these mics are real close to each other, and
- 21 sometimes when we talk to each other, the one right
- 22 or left that could be on the agenda as listed as
- 23 what we were talking about which clearly wasn't
- 24 acceptable.
- Okay. What we want to do now, I'd like to

- 1 talk to Mike if we could and to talk a little bit
- 2 about a workshop and what that means.
- 3 MICHAEL JENSEN: Sure. Mike Jensen for
- 4 the record. As part of the -- the rule-making
- 5 process, the Commission has to go through a number
- 6 of steps to be able to complete that process. The
- 7 Commission has been given authority under its
- 8 statutes to adopt regulations, and in order to do
- 9 that, one of the first steps in the -- in the
- 10 process is to have a workshop. There are a couple
- 11 of ways that a workshop moves forward. Sometimes
- 12 there's some proposed language already for the
- 13 Commission to look at and -- and to get comment from
- 14 interested persons on. Or it may just be a general
- 15 topic where the Commission is looking at potentially
- 16 making a change to regulations and just wants to get
- 17 information, have discussion about that general
- 18 matter. So it's the first step in the rule-making
- 19 process.
- 20 After a workshop is held and if the
- 21 Commission were to decide to go forward, then there
- 22 would be language admitted to LCB and eventually a
- 23 public comment hearing before that regulation could
- 24 be adopted. So this isn't the point where any
- 25 adoption is going to happen. It's just discussion.

- 1 RON PIERINI: Okay. Thanks Mike.
- 2 Any questions that the commissioners may
- 3 have on that? All right. How about in the public?
- 4 Anybody like to make comment on that? Okay. On the
- 5 workshop, one of the things, Mike, what we -- we're
- 6 a little bit concerned about is the fact that we are
- 7 going to do a workshop on a topic, and obviously
- 8 we'll have to go to part two of that later on, but
- 9 none of that is going to be probably completed until
- 10 after the Legislature is over with. But still,
- 11 we're moving forward on it.
- 12 The topic today what we're going to talk
- 13 about as the Commission to discuss reservation of
- 14 the Category 1, 2, and 3 in reserve basic training
- 15 topic, and then what we're going to align similar
- 16 topics covered by each category with Category 1
- 17 requirements.
- 18 And I'm not sure if you're doing that,
- 19 Scott, or Mike, you're doing it.
- 20 SCOTT JOHNSTON: All of us are.
- 21 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Yeah. Mike Sherlock
- 22 for the record. I'll -- I'll try to be as succinct
- 23 as possible. One of the reasons we want to look at
- 24 this is there is some confusion in this area.
- 25 So how this came about is really at the

- 1 request of both some rural agencies and metro,
- 2 frankly, asking us to take a look at the training
- 3 categories 1, 2, and 3, maybe realign those in terms
- 4 of the NAC.
- If you understand where we came from, Cat
- 6 3 was developed by separate committee than Cat 1 and
- 7 2. So what happened is although the NAC titles are
- 8 different because of those separate committees, much
- 9 of the learning objectives are the same, and so we
- 10 want to fix that. If you understand, Cat 2 is fully
- 11 integrated into Cat 1. Cat 3 is kind of out here by
- 12 itself not touching -- from a regulatory standpoint
- 13 not touching 1 or 2. Obviously there are some
- 14 issues with -- with some of that. But it -- right
- 15 now if you attended Cat 1 academy, you're also
- 16 getting Cat 2 training, but you're not getting any
- 17 Cat 3 training by statute or by NAC.
- 18 Obviously there are several reasons to fix
- 19 that. Our intent is to make Cat 1 the highest level
- 20 as it relates now to Cat 2, but also put Cat 3 in
- 21 there from a training standpoint. Cat 1 would be
- 22 the highest level of training, 2 the next, 3 down at
- 23 the bottom, you know, to fix that -- those training
- 24 subjects.
- 25 There are some wording issues that we've

- 1 run into. For instance, the NRS has changed the
- 2 wording for -- from elder abuse to older person. We
- 3 need to fix the NAC, and this fixes that. The NRS
- 4 has changed -- removed the reference to in terms of
- 5 collisions removed the reference to accidents and --
- 6 and now in the NRS it's -- it's called crash, so we
- 7 need to -- you know, change the titles related to
- 8 that and get accident and elder out and -- and fix
- 9 that.
- 10 The other big issue to keep in mind is
- 11 under the current regulatory scheme and the statutes
- 12 for that matter, our categories are simply training
- 13 requirements. We've had some confusion, and frankly
- 14 it's POST's fault also, in terms of what a Cat 1 can
- 15 and can't do and Cat 3 and that kind of thing.
- 16 There's been a kind of a belief that, you know, if
- 17 you're -- if you're in a rural agency and Cat 1
- 18 working the street, the jail staff doesn't show up
- 19 and you need to move the -- that Cat 1 into the
- 20 jail, it's okay, because they're Cat 1. That's not
- 21 the case currently. Even though we may have given
- 22 that impression, that's not how it works. Under the
- 23 statutes you have to have -- or, you know, under the
- 24 regulatory scheme now you have to have detention
- 25 training to work in an detention environment. So

- 1 realigning this and fixing that would -- would fix
- 2 that. Anyone who -- who is a Cat 1 would be able to
- 3 work in the jail without violating our current, you
- 4 know, current regulations if we change those.
- 5 And this applies not just to rurals. For
- 6 instance, metro has some people may be coming
- 7 towards the end of their career, they want to
- 8 transfer from the patrol side and work detention.
- 9 They can't do that at all without putting them
- 10 through their academy, and -- and there are some
- 11 issues with that, and that's one reason they wanted
- 12 to take a look at that.
- 13 From the Cat 2 perspective, if you look at
- 14 the regulations and the training requirements, Cat 2
- 15 gets no detention training, and from a practical
- 16 standpoint, it's really more important for Cat 2s.
- 17 We have juvenile probations -- juvenile probation
- 18 that falls under Cat 2, probation in general. That
- 19 may also be assigned to some sort of custody
- 20 environment, and they get no Cat 3 at all the way
- 21 we're set up right now, which, you know, we think is
- 22 a bit of a problem.
- 23 And finally the other issue that was
- 24 brought us is currently under Cat 3 there's no
- 25 requirement for firearms training, yet every single

- 1 Cat 3 academy in the state includes firearms
- 2 training. There was some interest in getting that
- 3 changed to include firearms training in Cat 3 for a
- 4 lot of reasons. In your strategic plan, your jail
- 5 personnel are part of your force multipliers. It
- 6 just -- it's easier for them if they -- if, you
- 7 know, from a basic training standpoint that they
- 8 have at least had firearms training from the
- 9 beginning, and so this would fix that.
- The big question, of course, is if we
- 11 integrate Cat 3 into Cat 1 just like Cat 2, is it
- 12 going to require an increase in minimum hours and
- 13 that kind of thing for your -- for our academies
- 14 throughout the state. The answer to that is no for
- 15 a lot of reasons. One, we have no academies in the
- 16 state that operate at the minimum number of hours
- 17 anyway. The increasing hours would be meaningless
- 18 in terms of the regulation.
- 19 The other thing is we already have
- 20 academies, our academies, now integrating Cat 3.
- 21 Southern Desert has done 3/1 for years, and they
- 22 already do that, so it wouldn't change anything for
- 23 them.
- 24 If you understand the regulation, our hour
- 25 requirements are based on the entire academy, not

- 1 subject. And -- and the reason for that is that we
- 2 allow local jurisdictions to emphasize those --
- 3 those areas that are most important in their
- 4 jurisdiction, so there's no hour requirement per
- 5 subject, so you can absorb those fairly easily just
- 6 to give you an idea.
- 7 And the other thing is a majority of the
- 8 topics that are found at Cat 3, although they're
- 9 titled different, are the same objectives that you
- 10 already find in Cat 1 and 2, just minor things. So
- 11 for us from a practical standpoint to -- to
- 12 incorporate all of Cat 3 into our 1/2 was a total of
- 13 16 hours increase. And -- and that's on paper.
- 14 Frankly, we could've absorbed it without adding
- 15 hours. We just didn't want to hit our performance-
- 16 based training hours and that kind of thing, so on
- 17 paper it's 16 hours.
- 18 So basically that's what we're looking to
- 19 do is integrate Cat 3 just like Cat 2 into Cat 1.
- 20 It streamlines it. It -- it appears that was the
- 21 original intent except for the separate committees.
- 22 It fixes some issues for agencies that would like to
- 23 use people in different disciplines. It does not
- 24 take away the ability to have a Cat 2 academy or a
- 25 Cat 3 only academy. It doesn't change anything at

- 1 all. It just changes the training category and
- 2 subjects, trying to fix those issues. So that's
- 3 what that's about.
- 4 And I can take any questions if you have
- 5 any.
- 6 RON PIERINI: Okay. Any commissioners
- 7 have any questions or comments? I think -- I just
- $8\,$ want to make one comment, and that is the fact is I
- 9 think most of us believe that if you had a Category
- 10 1 you did put them back in the jail it doesn't
- 11 matter. That was the top of all tops. So boy, I
- 12 was wrong on that one, so I have to say I did that
- 13 one time and glad we cleaned that up. So that's a
- 14 good idea to do that.
- 15 Anybody have any comments or questions?
- 16 Okay. How about to the public? Is there anyone
- 17 here who would like to make a comment on that?
- 18 Questions? Okay. Seeing none, we're going to move
- 19 on to the regular meeting if we could.
- 20 Okay. This is discussion and public
- 21 comment and also possible action approval of the
- 22 minutes from the November 1, 2016 regular scheduled
- 23 POST Commission meeting. So any of the
- 24 commissioners would like to have any comment on the
- 25 -- on the actual minutes? Do we have any changes we

- 1 should have? And to the public, anyone would like
- 2 to make comment on that if you happen to see that?
- 3 Okay. Hearing none, do I have a -- like to make a
- 4 motion?
- 5 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Russ Pedersen approve.
- 6 RON PIERINI: Thank you. Second?
- 7 TROY TANNER: Troy Tanner, second.
- 8 RON PIERINI: Any other questions?
- 9 Comments? All in favor?
- 10 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
- 11 RON PIERINI: Anybody opposed? Okay.
- 12 Thank you.
- 13 Information, Mike.
- 14 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: All right. I'll try to
- 15 zip through this. You know, as many of you know, we
- 16 are in budget season. I know we've talked about it
- 17 here at the Commission a few times. We here at POST
- 18 realize there is a limited pool of court assessment
- 19 money, and we are 100 percent funded by that court
- 20 assessment money, so, you know, we understand that.
- 21 But, you know, that being said, we are a bit
- 22 disappointed at this point that we, and I mean
- 23 training and standards, did not receive high
- 24 priority when you consider the national focus on
- 25 policing and -- and standards of presentation, but

- 1 beyond that, we were just hoping for more support.
- 2 Particularly we had provided some ideas on improving
- 3 our funding, other funding mechanisms, and those did
- 4 not past muster.
- 5 So that being said under the Governor's
- 6 recommended budget, we have no real change in our
- 7 budget. On paper we're actually taking about a nine
- 8 percent reduction over the biennium. At this point
- 9 we do believe that it may affect our services to
- 10 some extent.
- 11 So I'll start with basic training. We are
- 12 budgeted for 24 cadets twice a year. That's 48 for
- 13 the year. We essentially have 32 seats. We're
- 14 currently dealing with waiting lists to get into our
- 15 academy. We would anticipate to be running at full
- 16 capacity, that means 32, 64 for the year, not 48
- 17 perhaps for the entire biennium coming up, which
- 18 would be 64 cadets a year. That doesn't include our
- 19 reserve training or some of the other basic training
- 20 stuff that we provide.
- 21 But under the current budget, if that's
- 22 the case, we will run out of things like food
- 23 allowance money. We pay a partial per diem or food
- 24 allowance for those attending the academy. We would
- 25 not have enough money to -- to -- to do that at this

- 1 point. And when that -- if that should occur, we
- 2 will likely pass that along to the agencies, some of
- 3 those costs.
- But again, you know, we've -- we've been
- 5 able to deal with that for the last couple of years.
- 6 We'll cross that bridge when we get there, but
- 7 there's no doubt that we're going to, at least for
- 8 the short term, have full academies. We're not
- 9 budgeted for full academies. That's just the
- 10 reality of it.
- We've handled that. Obviously state
- 12 agencies don't get food allowance. We try to limit
- 13 it to those that are actually paying into court
- 14 assessment fees get that food allowance and that
- 15 kind of thing, so -- and we've been able to deal
- 16 with that, but just so everyone knows that -- that
- 17 is an issue for us.
- 18 You know, we had requested a few things.
- 19 The new use of force trainers, some new training
- 20 space monies, you know, some other equipment needs
- 21 that were not approved we'll deal with as time goes
- 22 on.
- 23 In terms of the basic training, we have
- 24 along those lines of being full all the time, I
- 25 created an updated policy that -- that really makes

- 1 our priorities known. Again, because we do have
- 2 more people wanting to get into the academy than we
- 3 have seats, we also instituted an alternate
- 4 enrollment option at the request of Carson, I saw
- 5 the sheriff here somewhere, there, Sheriff Furlong
- 6 made a good suggestion. We are accepting alternates
- 7 now.
- 8 Basically what that is, is we allow up to
- 9 four people show up ready to go for our academy.
- 10 Our big fear is when we have this many people
- 11 wanting to get into the academy and starting day one
- 12 with empty seats is not -- it's not something I
- 13 want, so we allow up to four people -- it's -- it's
- 14 a bit of a strain on agencies, because you don't
- 15 know if that person is actually going to get into
- 16 the academy, but they show up with their uniforms
- 17 and their ammo and all that whatever equipment needs
- 18 they have, and if we have failures, because we
- 19 always do, on that entrance exam, then they can
- 20 start right there. And it worked out. We had three
- 21 alternates show up at this current academy we have
- 22 going, and all three of them got in. So we are
- 23 happy about that. We had no empty seats for day
- 24 one. We have empty seats now; don't get me wrong.
- 25 But day one we didn't have any empty seats, so we'll

- 1 continue to do that --
- 2 RON PIERINI: If I -- if I could interrupt
- 3 you. You know what, Sheriff? I'm going to bring a
- 4 bunch of people to mine. We're going to take over
- 5 some of those spots.
- 6 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: It's a good thing. I
- 7 mean, again, you just don't want empty seats when
- 8 you have such a need for -- for basic training right
- 9 now. So we've done that.
- 10 Our policy kind of lays out what our
- 11 priorities should we have a full academy at 32, then
- 12 we drop into our priority policy, which obviously
- 13 are those that have gotten extensions from the
- 14 Commission are up on their one year, they're from
- 15 rural agencies, and we have a -- we have a -- a
- 16 priority list that -- that people can look at.
- 17 The only other I'd add in terms of basic
- 18 training is we aware of a BDR that, I think, the
- 19 Commission is aware of, the use of -- the Commission
- 20 supported in terms of dispatchers and some training
- 21 standards and being included. There is a BDR on
- 22 that. We don't see any fiscal impact at this point.
- 23 Not seeing the entire BDR, but talking to those that
- 24 are dealing with that, and I know if there are
- 25 issues that DPS has agreed to provide some help in

- 1 terms of tracking and all that. So we'll see what
- 2 happens with that one.
- In advanced training we will continue to
- 4 provide those core courses that we do; supervisor,
- 5 management, basic instructor development, executive,
- 6 those things for sure. We did, again, get no help
- 7 in the budget in terms of expanding that. My
- 8 thoughts at this point are we will probably suspend
- 9 things like blue courage training and some of the
- 10 other ideas that we had, standardizing FTO and
- 11 leadership training. We're just not going to have
- 12 the funds at this point if our budget stays the way
- 13 it is to expand in -- in those areas or other things
- 14 we were considering.
- In terms of standards, we have completed
- 16 our administrative manual. We're -- we're rolling
- 17 it up today, I think. We really think this will
- 18 help agencies understand POST regulations and how
- 19 they can demonstrate compliance to us. The manual
- 20 has instructions and information on everything from,
- 21 you know, hiring, background requirements, you know,
- 22 how you demonstrate compliance with backgrounds,
- 23 which is a big headache out there for agencies, and
- 24 all the way, you know, how to do PARS and basic
- 25 certificate applications. All those things that --

- 1 that are important to the agencies, and we'll get
- 2 that out there, be on our website, that kind of
- 3 thing. It's just really good resources for
- 4 agencies.
- 5 Again, in terms of the budget with
- 6 standards, really on that side, it could affect
- 7 travel, staff training, and that kind of thing
- 8 depending on how -- how it all falls down.
- 9 You know, again, I -- I think we do a good
- 10 job with the monies we had. We're just hopeful that
- 11 we could kind of expand and -- and make some other
- 12 improvements. That currently doesn't look like
- 13 we'll be able to do that.
- One other thing from standards, it's
- 15 compliance season right now. We're doing okay, I
- 16 think, as far as compliance. One area that's a big
- 17 confusion that -- that -- that's come up this time,
- 18 and there's different things every time, but in
- 19 terms of firearms training, try not to look at you,
- 20 Director, but, you know, there -- what -- what the
- 21 regulations say is you must demonstrate proficiency
- 22 for all firearms authorized twice yearly.
- 23 So what we have sometimes is, you know,
- 24 you change -- you change guns midseason or you hire
- 25 someone at the end of the calendar year. You know,

- 1 does it really make sense for them to shoot twice on
- 2 December 31st? You know, from our perspective the
- 3 answer to that is no. You know, demonstrate
- 4 proficiency, you can't demonstrate proficiency twice
- 5 on the same day. You've already demonstrated
- 6 proficiency. So we understand that.
- 7 In terms of, you know, particular firearms
- 8 if -- if you're shooting a semiauto and you get
- 9 newer model or buy a new gun and you shoot that one,
- 10 you don't have to shoot the old one again and then
- 11 shoot the new one twice. I mean, we're okay with
- 12 it. We set the minimum standard. The agency
- 13 decides, you know, what's -- what's appropriate for
- 14 you in terms of training and that kind of thing. So
- 15 I just want to make sure that's clear.
- 16 Along those lines I'm thinking that
- 17 perhaps we should take a look at the language
- 18 related to yearly maintenance training and how we
- 19 word that. Again, I don't know that -- that every
- 20 gun authorized is the best wording. I -- I don't
- 21 know what is better, but there is some confusion out
- 22 there. And so we'll take a look at that and maybe
- 23 bring that to -- to the Commission to move forward
- 24 on maybe fixing some of that.
- One final item, sometime ago the

- 1 Commission amended the -- amended the NAC and
- 2 created the ability for staff to receive voluntary -
- 3 voluntary surrenders of -- of basic certificates.
- 4 Under that regulation, once that's accepted by our
- 5 staff, we are required to notify the Commission at
- 6 the next available meeting that we received a
- 7 voluntary surrender. We did have one from one
- 8 Andrew Casacca formerly employed by the Washoe
- 9 County Sheriff's Department. He voluntarily
- 10 surrendered his basic certificate as part of a plea
- 11 agreement in a -- it's a criminal court case. The
- 12 plea was for misconduct by a public officer. This
- 13 occurred and was approved by the court -- courts at
- 14 the end of September, but we weren't notified until
- 15 after the November meeting.
- 16 He's been entered into the National
- 17 Decertification Index. I won't go into the facts.
- 18 It was voluntary surrender, part of a plea
- 19 agreement. There is more information in the -- in
- 20 the Commission books on that if you're interested,
- 21 but we did accept it.
- It is an expedited way of dealing with
- 23 some of these cases that, I think, saves the
- 24 Commission some time, so we're happy that it was
- 25 able to work, so --

- 1 That's all I have.
- 2 RON PIERINI: One thing it does is it
- 3 saves Mike a lot of time, because he has to go
- 4 through that, and we're going to have more, I think,
- 5 today.
- 6 Anybody have any comments or questions on
- 7 Mike? Thank you very much. Maybe we should ask the
- 8 public. Anybody have any questions on -- to Mike on
- 9 this particular topic? Okay. Hearing none, we're
- 10 going to move on to Number Three.
- 11 Discussion, public comment, and for
- 12 possible action, the Commission to discuss and take
- 13 possible action to continue the rule-making process
- 14 to revised the training subject of academies one,
- 15 two, and three and reserve basic training programs
- 16 by similar topics in each category with category 1.
- 17 And that's part of what we just did in the workshop.
- 18 So Mike, did you want to talk anything more about
- 19 that?
- 20 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Well, no. I think -- I
- 21 think the information is out there. I think at this
- 22 point Mike would be looking for a motion to move to
- 23 the rule-making -- continue the rule-making process.
- 24 MICHAEL JENSEN: Continue -- Mike Jensen
- 25 for the record. This is just the opportunity for

- 1 the Commission to let staff know if you wanted to
- 2 move forward in the process making of a regulation.
- 3 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
- 4 RON PIERINI: Looking at any comments or
- 5 topics you'd like to talk about on that if we could.
- 6 Anybody here with the commissioners? Okay.
- 7 Reaching out to the -- the public, if they would
- 8 like to make comment on that. Okay. Then we're
- 9 looking for a motion.
- 10 TROY TANNER: Troy Tanner, Mesquite. I
- 11 make a motion to continue the rule-making process to
- 12 revise the training subjects for category 1, 2, and
- 13 3.
- 14 RON PIERINI: Thank you, Troy. Do we have
- 15 a second?
- JIM WRIGHT: I'll second.
- 17 RON PIERINI: Thank you. Okay. Any other
- 18 comments? Okay. All in favor?
- 19 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
- 20 RON PIERINI: Anybody opposed?
- GARY SCHOFIELD: Aye.
- 22 RON PIERINI: Thank you. All right. Gone
- 23 through.
- Okay. Number Four, discussion, public
- 25 comment, and for possible action request from the

- 1 11th Judicial District Youth and Family Services for
- 2 the community of Nicole Mathias for a six-month
- 3 extension coming right up there (inaudible) past a
- 4 one-year requirement to November 30th, 2017 in order
- 5 to meet the requirements for certification, so thank
- 6 you.
- 7 CRAIG TIPPENS: Good morning. Craig
- 8 Tippens. I'm the chief juvenile probation officer
- 9 for the 11th Judicial District.
- 10 NICHOLE MATHIAS: Nicole Mathias, juvenile
- 11 probation officer for 11th Judicial District Family
- 12 Services.
- RON PIERINI: Okay. Before we do that, we
- 14 have Scott. Do you want to make an outline of that,
- 15 please?
- 16 SCOTT JOHNSTON: No. We -- Scott Johnston
- 17 for the record. We received a letter, it's in your
- 18 commission book, making this request for the six-
- 19 month extension and the circumstances behind it, and
- 20 we've ensured that their staff is going to be
- 21 present to make their case before the Commission.
- 22 RON PIERINI: Okay. Give us an outline,
- 23 please.
- NICOLE MATHIAS: Oh, okay. I attended
- 25 POST in July of 2016 and made it to the beginning of

- 1 week three, and due to a preexisting knee injury, I
- 2 just was unable to make it through. I have seen a
- 3 couple of doctors since then. They were not willing
- 4 to do surgery. I just have to fight through it, do
- 5 some rehabilitation, and try to get through in July
- 6 of this year.
- 7 RON PIERINI: Any questions, Commission?
- 8 Anybody in the public? Okay. So we're in pretty
- 9 good shape on that, right, Scott?
- 10 SCOTT JOHNSTON: May I make one more
- 11 addition to that? Scott Johnston for the record.
- 12 If the Commission does approve the six-month
- 13 extension, it would -- it would extend the one-year
- 14 requirement to be certified, out -- six more months
- 15 out to November 30th of this year, which would --
- 16 would allow the adequate time for attendance to the
- 17 academy and fulfilling all the certification
- 18 requirements.
- 19 RON PIERINI: Thank you. All right. Do I
- 20 have a motion?
- 21 KEVIN MCKINNEY: Kevin McKinney. I'll --
- 22 I'll move to approve the six-month extension.
- 23 RON PIERINI: Thank you. Do I have a
- 24 second?
- 25 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Russ Pedersen, second.

- 1 RON PIERINI: All right. Any other
- 2 questions or comments? All in favor? Or, excuse
- 3 me. (Inaudible).
- 4 JAMES KETSAA: Question. 2017 academy,
- 5 will there be a seat for her?
- 6 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: At this point, yes.
- 7 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
- 8 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Again, because of the
- 9 extension --
- 10 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Okay.
- 11 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: -- even if we hit --
- 12 Mike Sherlock for the record. If we it the 32, our
- 13 maximum, then we drop into the priorities. One of
- 14 the -- the top priority is someone who has an
- 15 extension and needs to get the training before that
- 16 extension expires. Yes.
- 17 RON PIERINI: Okay. So we're okay on
- 18 that. So we have a motion and it was seconded. All
- 19 in favor?
- 20 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
- 21 RON PIERINI: Anybody opposed?
- GARY SCHOFIELD: Aye.
- 23 RON PIERINI: Okay. Thank you very much.
- 24 NICOLE MATHIAS: Thank you.
- 25 RON PIERINI: Okay. We're going to go to

- 1 Number Five. Discussion, public comment, and for
- 2 possible action, request from the Nevada Gaming
- 3 Control Board their employee Justin Yuhas for a six-
- 4 month extension past one year requirement to
- 5 November 2nd, 2017 in order to -- to meet the
- 6 requirements for certification. Scott?
- 7 SCOTT JOHNSTON: Scott Johnston for the
- 8 record. Yes, we received from the agency a letter
- 9 making this request for the six-month extension.
- 10 Their officer was scheduled in 2016 to attend the
- 11 academy, but sustained an injury before going, and
- 12 has gone through the treatment program for that and
- 13 should be fit for the July 2017 academy. The
- 14 extension would extend his time period to become
- 15 certified out to November 2nd of 2017, which would
- 16 be sufficient for obtaining the certification and
- 17 maintaining peace officer status.
- 18 RON PIERINI: Thank you, Scott. All
- 19 right, sir.
- 20 DAVE ANDREWS: Dave Andrews, deputy chief
- 21 with the Nevada Gaming Control Board. Mr. Yuhas is
- 22 stationed in our Elko office currently, and as Mr.
- 23 Johnston said, we hired him on May 2nd of 2016
- 24 anticipating he would enter the July 25th, 2016 POST
- 25 academy. On June 29th we ran him through the

- 1 physical fitness testing at the gymnasium next door
- 2 here, and during that testing he tripped, and when
- 3 he landed, he broke his little finger on his right
- 4 hand. It was a compound fracture. It required
- 5 surgery with pins in it. And then later last year
- 6 he actually went through a second surgery to remove
- 7 the pins and reassess the bone, make sure he still
- 8 had mobility. We were anticipating and we had him
- 9 scheduled in the academy that is currently occurring
- 10 that started on January 23rd.
- 11 On January 4th of 2017, he went for a
- 12 doctor's visit for his final release, and the doctor
- 13 indicated the patient is full duty, but cannot
- 14 attend the police academy this round. The doctor's
- 15 opinion was the increased physical exercise
- 16 activities in the POST academy could reinjure the
- 17 finger where he would no longer have mobility for
- 18 the rest of his life.
- 19 So we schedule him hopefully for the July
- 20 17th academy this year, and just last week he
- 21 transferred from the Reno to the Elko office, went
- 22 to see his doctor, again, and he received a full
- 23 release. So about two weeks after our academy
- 24 started here, he does have a full release, but he's
- 25 ready to go for the July 17th academy.

- 1 RON PIERINI: Thank you. Any questions,
- 2 comments Commission? How about out to the public?
- 3 Seeing none, do we have a motion?
- 4 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Russ Pedersen move to
- 5 approve.
- 6 RON PIERINI: Thank you. Do I have a
- 7 second?
- 8 DAN WATTS: Dan Watts, second.
- 9 RON PIERINI: Thank you, Dan. Any other
- 10 questions, comments? All in favor?
- 11 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
- 12 RON PIERINI: Anybody oppose? So carried.
- 13 Thank you.
- DAVE ANDREWS: Thank you.
- RON PIERINI: One thing I just wanted to
- 16 as a note is as to people came up here is that they
- 17 at least showed up here and gave reasons why we
- 18 could have that extension. I appreciate that very
- 19 much.
- Okay. On Number Six is discussion, public
- 21 comment, and for possible action, request from the
- 22 Las Vegas Metro Police Department for the employee
- 23 of Captain Fred W. Myer for Executive Certificate.
- 24 So what do we have on that?
- 25 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Mike Sherlock for the

- 1 record. POST staff received an application for
- 2 Executive Certificate from the Las Vegas
- 3 Metropolitan Police Department for Captain Fred W.
- 4 Myer. The POST Executive Certificate committee met
- 5 and found that Captain Myer meets the position,
- 6 training, education, and experience requirements
- 7 under the NAC and recommends the Commission issue
- 8 the Executive Certificate to Captain Myer.
- 9 RON PIERINI: Thank you very much. Do we
- 10 have any questions or comments? Gary, would you
- 11 like to make any discussion (inaudible)?
- 12 GARY SCHOFIELD: No. I'd like to make a
- 13 motion to accept the or grant that Executive
- 14 Certificate.
- RON PIERINI: Okay. Your motion is to do
- 16 that. Okay. Do we have a second?
- 17 MICHELE FREEMAN: Michele Freeman, second.
- 18 RON PIERINI: Okay. You're second. Any
- 19 other questions or comments? How about in the
- 20 public? Hearing none, all in favor?
- 21 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
- 22 RON PIERINI: Anybody opposed. So
- 23 carried. Thank you.
- Number Seven we're going to talk about
- 25 discussion, public comment, and for possible action,

- 1 request from the Henderson Police Department for
- 2 their employee Captain Bryan Dunaway for an
- 3 Executive Certificate.
- 4 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Again, Mike Sherlock,
- 5 for the record. POST staff received an application
- 6 for an Executive Certificate from the City of
- 7 Henderson Police Department for Captain Bryan K.
- 8 Dunaway. Again, the POST Executive Certificate
- 9 committee met and found that Captain Dunaway meets
- 10 the position, training, education, and experience
- 11 requirements under the NAC, and recommends the
- 12 Commission issue the Executive Certificate to
- 13 Captain Dunaway.
- RON PIERINI: Okay. Thank you. Do we
- 15 have anybody from Henderson want to talk about that?
- 16 I don't think so. Okay. Any comments or questions
- 17 from our Commission? Looking for a motion.
- 18 TROY TANNER: Troy Tanner will make a
- 19 motion to approve Captain Bryan Dunaway for his
- 20 Executive Certificate.
- 21 RON PIERINI: Thank you, Troy. Do I have
- 22 a second?
- JAMES KETSAA: Jim Ketsaa, second.
- 24 RON PIERINI: James, thank you. Any other
- 25 questions on that? All in favor?

- 1 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
- 2 RON PIERINI: Okay. So carried. Thank
- 3 you.
- 4 Okay. Number Eight, discussion, public
- 5 comment, and for possible action, hearing pursuant
- 6 to NAC 289.290(1)(g). Okay. Kaleo Gedge formerly
- 7 of the Nevada Department of Corrections and this is
- 8 certification for two felony convictions of
- 9 furnishing a controlled substance to a state
- 10 prisoner and transport for a controlled substance.
- 11 The Commission will either decide to revoke this
- 12 person's category 3 basic certificate, but Mike,
- 13 this is all yours.
- 14 MICHAEL JENSEN: All right. Thank you,
- 15 Mr. Chairman. Mike Jensen for the record. As we've
- 16 done in the past, this is one of our hearings for
- 17 possible revocation of POST certification. Just as
- 18 a background, NRS 289.510 provides the Commission
- 19 with authority to adopt minimum standards for
- 20 certification and decertification of officers.
- 21 Under that authority the Commission adopted are
- 22 regulation in 289.290, which establishes the causes
- 23 for which the Commission would revoke, refuse, or
- 24 suspend a certificate of a peace officer.
- 25 With regard to this particular case,

- 1 Section (1)(g) is the relevant provision which
- 2 authorizes revocation for a felony conviction. In
- 3 the packet you have a number of exhibits that would
- 4 be -- that I'll just briefly go through, it is a
- 5 pretty straight forward case, I think, that I would
- 6 ask to be admitted after we've gone through those
- 7 and be made part of the record for any action the
- 8 Commission may take today.
- 9 Exhibit A is the Notice of Intent to
- 10 Revoke, which by our regulation and open meeting law
- 11 we're required to provide to the individual. It was
- 12 provided to Mr. Gedge. It was personally served on
- 13 him. It basically, as you can see, sets out his
- 14 rights in this particular case. It identifies the
- 15 convictions that the Commission would be taking an
- 16 action based on and his opportunity, although he
- 17 doesn't really have -- he's incarcerated right now,
- 18 doesn't really have a opportunity, but I understand
- 19 he hasn't asked to appear or made any request of the
- 20 Commission to appear today. The scope of the
- 21 hearing is whether or not he should -- his
- 22 certificate should be revoked for the -- the felony
- 23 conviction.
- 24 Exhibit B is our proof that he was served
- 25 with that particular notice giving him that

- 1 information.
- 2 Exhibit C it shows -- Exhibit B shows he
- 3 was served on January 19th, 2017, which is within
- 4 the legal requirements to take action today.
- 5 Exhibit C is the personnel action report
- 6 showing Mr. Gedge was separated from employment as a
- 7 peace officer effective November 23rd of 2016, is
- 8 the date that I've got on his -- his Personnel
- 9 Action Report.
- 10 Exhibit D is the POST certificate that the
- 11 Commission would be taking action if it does today
- 12 to revoke.
- The next documents are the criminal
- 14 documents evidencing the conviction that took place
- 15 in this case. Exhibit E is the certified copy of
- 16 the information that shows the two charges, which is
- 17 -- the Chairman has already set out in the agenda
- 18 item are count one, furnishing a controlled
- 19 substance to a state prisoner, and count two,
- 20 transport of controlled substance both of which are
- 21 -- are felonies.
- 22 It outlines under that information the
- 23 basic facts of what occurred, really general facts,
- 24 but it states that Mr. Gedge did -- did unlawfully,
- 25 feloniously furnish, attempt to furnish to a state

- 1 prisoner confined in an institution of the
- 2 Department of Corrections a controlled substance.
- 3 That on or about on September 5th, 2016, he
- 4 transported or furnished or attempted to furnish
- 5 methamphetamine to prisoners confined at the High
- 6 Desert State Prison.
- 7 The next document, Exhibit F, is the
- 8 guilty plea agreement where Mr. Gedge agreed to
- 9 plead guilty to both of those counts.
- 10 And finally the last exhibit is the -- is
- 11 the judgment of conviction showing that there was a
- 12 conviction entered by the court on both counts, both
- 13 furnishing a controlled substance to a state
- 14 prisoner and transport of controlled substance both
- 15 felony convictions. It shows here that he was
- 16 sentenced on those two counts to incarceration
- 17 Nevada Department of Corrections for a minimum of --
- 18 a maximum of 48 months, a minimum of 18 months. And
- 19 those two were to run concurrent.
- I think that's a pretty straightforward
- 21 case. An individual who was employed as a peace
- 22 officer in corrections who brought controlled
- 23 substance into a correctional facility certainly is
- 24 a serious criminal offense. It's inconsistent with
- 25 and certainly incompatible with a person who's

- 1 placed in the position of a peace officer, and a
- 2 clear violation of the trust that's placed in those
- 3 peace officers. Also because he has a felony
- 4 conviction that disqualifies him from being a peace
- 5 officer in the state of Nevada, and based on that
- 6 evidence, Mr. Chairman, I'd ask that that be
- 7 admitted into the record, and we'd recommend that
- 8 Mr. Gedge's Basic Certificate be revoked.
- 9 RON PIERINI: Thank you. Exhibits are
- 10 approved. Okay. Do we have any questions or
- 11 comments from the Commission? Okay. How about out
- 12 in the audience? Okay. Do I have a motion?
- 13 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Russ Pedersen move to
- 14 revoked Mr. Gedge's certificate.
- 15 RON PIERINI: Thank you. Do I have a
- 16 second?
- 17 JIM WRIGHT: Jim Wright, second. Jim
- 18 Wright, second.
- 19 RON PIERINI: Okay, Jim. Thank you. Any
- 20 other comments or questions? All in favor.
- 21 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
- 22 RON PIERINI: Any opposed? So carried.
- 23 Thank you. Thanks, Mike. (Inaudible). Appreciate
- 24 it.
- Okay. Number Nine, discussion, public

- 1 comment, and for possible action, request from the
- 2 Carson Reno City Sheriff's Office for their employee
- 3 Karlyn Jones for a six-month extension past one year
- 4 requirement to August 19th, 2017, in order to meet
- 5 the requirements of certification. Kenny.
- 6 KEN FURLONG: Good morning, all. This is
- 7 a request for an extension for Deputy -- Deputy
- 8 Sheriff Karlyn Jones. She was scheduled to attend a
- 9 POST Academy coming up on her one year probation
- 10 mark. Unfortunately the weather outside she was
- 11 involved in a automobile accident in between Carson,
- 12 and we know that she was going home from duty and
- 13 pretty severely broke her femur. So while we do
- 14 have her anticipated, and I use the word
- 15 anticipated, for the July academy, we will need
- 16 approved extension for her certification processes.
- 17 RON PIERINI: Okay.
- 18 SCOTT JOHNSTON: Scott Johnston for the
- 19 record. If the Commission does approve the request
- 20 that Sheriff Furlong is making, this would provide
- 21 an extension out to November 19th, 2017, which would
- 22 be adequate time for the attendance at the academy
- 23 and fulfilling the requirements for certification.
- 24 Staff has -- staff would recommend approval on this.
- 25 RON PIERINI: So you're okay with that?

- 1 SCOTT JOHNSTON: Yes, sir.
- 2 RON PIERINI: All right, Scott. Thank
- 3 you. Any comments or questions from the Commission?
- 4 Move to the public. Looking for a motion.
- 5 DAN WATTS: Dan Watts, I make a motion
- 6 that we approve the extension for Deputy Jones.
- 7 RON PIERINI: Thanks, Dan. Second?
- 8 MICHELE FREEMAN: Michele Freeman, second
- 9 the motion.
- 10 RON PIERINI: Thank you, Michele. Any
- 11 other questions or comments? Okay. All in favor?
- 12 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
- RON PIERINI: Any opposed? So carried.
- 14 KEN FURLONG: Thank you.
- 15 RON PIERINI: Thank you. Okay. Now we go
- 16 to for public comment. Does anybody out in the --
- 17 in the -- in the public area here would like to make
- 18 a comment on something that we have not agendized?
- 19 Okay. Seeing none, we're going to go to scheduling.
- 20 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Mike Sherlock for the
- 21 record. We -- looking at the calendar, we're going
- 22 to suggest the next meeting for May 4th. We're
- 23 hoping at 8:30 here in Carson City. Just so
- 24 everyone knows, that is the date of the peace
- 25 officer memorial ceremony. That begins at 12 noon.

- 1 In addition, the sheriffs and chiefs meeting is on
- 2 May 3rd. Our -- our thoughts are most of the
- 3 commissioners, you know, likely will be up here the
- 4 day before anyway.
- 5 In addition to that, the -- the agenda may
- 6 include the continuation of a waiver request. You
- 7 know, I want to make sure that we do not run late.
- 8 I want everyone to make sure, you know, get to the
- 9 memorial on time. That's why we're -- we're kind of
- 10 thinking maybe 8:30 for a start time. We'll leave
- 11 that to the Commission.
- 12 That's what we look at.
- RON PIERINI: But to understand that on
- 14 the 4th at 8:30, what do we do later on that day?
- 15 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: At 12 noon is the
- 16 memorial.
- 17 RON PIERINI: Yeah. So that would work
- 18 probably for everybody I would think, huh? Okay.
- 19 Good. Thank you.
- I don't know we -- we always talk about
- 21 having a public comment and for possible action, I'm
- 22 not sure we need to do that (inaudible) get final
- 23 things done. Do we have quite an outline more
- 24 topics that we're going to probably have on that day
- 25 or is it pretty small?

- 1 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Well, you know how --
- 2 Mike Sherlock for the record. Right now I think
- 3 that that one item may be fairly time consuming --
- 4 RON PIERINI: Oh.
- 5 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: -- so I'm hoping to
- 6 keep the agenda light, so we can address that one
- 7 topic and -- and make sure that everybody gets to
- 8 the memorial on time.
- 9 RON PIERINI: Well, then we would go ahead
- 10 and get a possible action for a motion on that if we
- 11 could (inaudible).
- 12 TROY TANNER: I just have a quick comment.
- 13 Troy Tanner from Mesquite. Will you make sure too
- 14 that you sent it to Bob from Chiefs and Sheriffs.
- 15 On this meeting he didn't know about it. And he'll
- 16 send it out to our membership too. Just so we all
- 17 stay on the same page, because we all make other
- 18 meetings, so I know it came up, so not a big deal,
- 19 but maybe you could send him one.
- 20 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Sure.
- 21 TROY TANNER: That would be great.
- 22 RON PIERINI: Okay. All right. So do I
- 23 have a motion? Okay. I'll make a motion for
- 24 approval of May 4th at 8:30 a.m. for our next
- 25 meeting. Do I have a second?

```
1
              JAMES WRIGHT: Jim Wright, I'll second.
2
              RON PIERINI: Thank you, Jim. All in
3
    favor?
4
              COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
              RON PIERINI: Thank you. Okay. Finally
5
    this is the biggest thing. It's, you know,
6
7
    discussion, public comment, and for possible action
8
    for adjournment. Does anybody want to make that?
9
    Thank you, Dan. Do I have a second? Thank you.
10
              (MEETING ADJOURNED AT * a.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                  C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-I-O-N
```

1	
2	I certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate
3	transcript of the electronic audio recording from
4	the meeting in the above-entitled matter.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	_/s/ Kimberly Padgett
12	Kimberly Padgett DATE
13	TrustPoint Reporting
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	